**Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Competition 2016**

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS ISSUED JULY 5, 2016**

**INTRODUCTION**

The City of New Bedford is now accepting proposals for Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for both renewal projects and new projects.

HUD publishes a CoC Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for each funding year. The 2016 NOFA was published on June 29, 2016, with a deadline of September 14, 2016, roughly 77 days after publication. To allow time for the local review and decision-making process, the City is publishing this RFP immediately following publication of the NOFA. The City reserves the right to publish additional information subject to NOFA guidelines. A single, consolidated submission of all selected projects in New Bedford Continuum of Care will be submitted to HUD by the City of New Bedford. Funding will be derived from Federal Fiscal Year 2016 allocations of HUD funds and is subject to funding availability under the NOFA. The City reserves the right to request that applicant organizations submit adjusted project budgets based on the amount of funding made available by HUD.

**THIS RFP IS SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION AS MAY BE REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE HUD NOFA.**

**DEADLINE**

**Agencies desiring to submit renewal and/or new projects must submit a completed application packet including required attachments to the City by 3:00pm on July 22, 2016.** Submit all documents in PDF electronic format (preferably by email) to the City of New Bedford’s Department of Planning, Housing and Community Development, attention Jennifer Clarke, Deputy Director, either via e-mail Jennifer.Clarke@newbedford-ma.gov or in person at the Department of Planning, Housing and Community Development, 608 Pleasant Street, New Bedford, Massachusetts.

**FUNDING**

The amount of funding estimated to be available from HUD is **$1,804,321**, which is based on the amount of currently funded projects which are eligible for renewal funding also referred to as the pro rata need determined by HUD. Submission procedures are described below.

New projects can be funded only through reallocation from existing projects or through a bonus funding process, as described below. New project activities are limited by HUD to permanent supportive housing, rapid re-housing, homeless management information systems, and coordinated intake and assessment programs.

**HUD PROGRAM INFORMATION**

All parties intending to apply for funding are strongly encouraged to review the program regulations, including those organizations that are currently or were previously funded. Proposals that do not conform to the regulations will not be considered for funding. The regulations for the Continuum of Care Program may be found at this link: <https://www.hudexchange.info/coc/coc-program-law-regulations-and-notices/>

**I. BACKGROUND**

Similar to past years, all applications for funding will be vetted, evaluated and ranked by the CoC –

Homeless Service Provider Network (HSPN) Performance Review Committee (PRC), ratified by the HSPN membership and eventually submitted to HUD via the E-SNAPS system. The Department of Planning Housing and Community Development (DPHCD) will act as the Collaborative Applicant and submit an application for funds on behalf of the New Bedford Continuum of Care for renewal projects and any new projects identified through the Request for Proposal (RFP).

|  |
| --- |
| NOFA TIMELINE.2016 |
| July 5, 2016 | DPHCD issues RFP for Renewals and New Projects |
| July 22, 2016 | RFP Due Date for Renewals and New Projects to City of New Bedford |
| TBD | Performance Review Committee Meeting/Project Review |
| August 18, 2016 | HSPN Meeting to review and approve Application Review Committee recommendations for new projects, renewal projects and priority rankings. |
| August 19, 2016 | 2016 Ranking and Selection Results posted on Network website and sent to project applicants in writing |
| August 22, 2016 | Any and all appeals due to DPHCD by 12:00pm. Agencies that have appealed will be notified in writing prior to the NOFA application submission |
| August 24, 2016 | All applicants to submit a PDF file to DPHCD of the ESNAPS application by 4:00pm |
| September 7, 2016 | CoC Application Submitted to HUD in *esnaps.* Any rejected applicants may submit *esnaps* Solo Application directly to HUD |

HUD notes that it is important that renewal projects meet minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards identified in the 2016 NOFA or they will be rejected from consideration for funding. When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in the Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS), Annual Performance Reports (APRs), information provided from the local HUD/CPD Field Office that includes monitoring reports and OMB Circular A-133 audit reports as applicable.

HUD also reviews performance standards on prior grants, and assess a project on the following criteria using a pass/fail basis:

1. The project applicant’s performance against plans and goals established in the initial application as amended;
2. Project applicants must demonstrate all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including that standards for the expenditure of grant funds have been met;
3. The project applicant’s performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and record of success, except HMIS dedicated projects are not required to meet this standard; and
4. Evidence that a project applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site. These conditions may result in the rejection of an application from the competition.

HUD reserves the right to reduce or reject a funding request from the project applicant for the following reasons:

1. Outstanding obligation to HUD in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;
2. Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;
3. History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;
4. Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;
5. History of other major capacity issues that have significantly impacted the operation of the project and its performance;
6. Timeliness in reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs. HUD will consider a project applicant as meeting this standard if it has drawn down grant funds at least once per month; and
7. History of serving ineligible persons, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.

Similar to last year, HUD is requiring evaluation of existing renewal grants. It is possible that the national total of the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) amounts submitted by CoCs in the 2015 CoC registration exceeds the funding available under the proposed NOFA, CoCs will be required to rank all projects applying for grant funds in E-SNAPS. To ensure that CoCs have the opportunity to prioritize their projects locally in the event that HUD is not able to fund all renewals. HUD is requiring that CoCs rank projects within 2 tiers in 2015, similar to last year’s NOFA. HUD will only select projects from Tier 2 for funding after all projects from Tier 1 have been selected. HUD’s priorities for funding are as follows:

HUD will first select projects from Tier 1 in the following order by CoC score:

1. Renewal PSH;
2. New PSH created through reallocation for 100% chronically homeless;
3. New Rapid Re-Housing projects created through reallocation for households with children;
4. Renewal transitional housing;
5. Renewal HMIS; and
6. Any other project application submitted by the CoC that was not included on the GIW.

All questions regarding this application should be directed to Patrick J. Sullivan, Director of the City of New Bedford Department of Planning, Housing and Community Development via e-mail patrick.sullivan@newbedford-ma.gov or by telephone 508.979.1500.

**KEY INFORMATION**

1. Threshold Requirements -- All projects must meet the threshold criteria shown in the application

package.

1. Eligible activities under the Continuum of Care regulations include acquisition of property,

rehabilitation of property, new construction, leasing, rental assistance, supportive services, operating costs, homeless management information system (HMIS) costs, project administrative costs, relocation, indirect costs, and CoC planning costs. However, project types are limited to the program components described below.

1. New projects may only be funded through reallocation of funds from existing projects or through the permanent housing bonus process. HUD strictly limits the type of projects for which reallocated or bonus funds may be used.
2. Regarding reallocation, CoCs may reduce or eliminate funds from eligible renewal projects to create

projects of the following types: (a) new permanent supportive housing projects where all beds will be dedicated for use by chronically homeless individuals and families; (b) new rapid rehousing projects for homeless individuals and families who enter directly from the streets or emergency shelters, youth up to age 24, and persons fleeing domestic violence situations; (c) new Supportive Services Only (SSO) projects specifically for a centralized or coordinated assessment system; and (d) new dedicated Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) projects.

e. HUD will continue the Permanent Housing Bonus. All CoCs may create new projects through the permanent housing bonus in a combined total amount of up to 5 percent (5%) of total non-bonus funding. The estimated amount of bonus funding for 2016 is **$90,216.** Bonus funding is available only for the following types of new projects: (a) new permanent supportive housing projects that will serve 100 percent chronically homeless families and individuals including youth experiencing chronic homelessness; and (b) new rapid rehousing projects that will serve homeless individuals and families, including youth, coming directly from the streets or emergency shelters, or fleeing violence as specified by HUD in the definition of homelessness.

f. Proposed funding for new projects cannot supplant funding from other sources.

g. Participants in CoC-funded projects must meet HUD’s eligibility requirements, which vary by program component. More information on the CoC regulations is found below.

h. Permanent supportive housing projects may serve families or individuals. An adult participant in each household served in any permanent supportive housing program must be disabled.

i. Projects may not charge participants program fees in any program.

j. Funds are not available for transitional housing.

k. Funds are not available for supportive services, unless they are part of a permanent supportive housing project, a rapid re-housing project or a coordinated intake and assessment project.

l. Emergency shelter and services are not eligible for funding under the CoC Program.

m. All eligible funding costs except leasing must be matched with no less than a 25 percent cash or in-kind match. Leasing costs are not required to be matched.

n. All projects will be limited to requests for one year of assistance, unless a different term is required by HUD. Upon expiration, projects may be renewed subject to HUD requirements, local priorities, satisfactory performance, and availability of funds.

o. Collaborative efforts by community agencies are encouraged. As an example of collaborative initiatives, the CoC is implementing a centralized/coordinated intake and assessment system as required by HUD for the CoC program. Also, a collaborative rapid rehousing program has been implemented.

**II. SCORING**

A. ALL PROJECTS—NEW (INCLUDING REALLOCATIONS) and RENEWALS

## Every project being reviewed as a part of the 2016 competition will first be evaluated against threshold criteria as articulated in this section. Every project must meet the threshold criteria in order to be considered for scoring. Those projects not meeting the threshold criteria as determined by the City of New Bedford’s DPHCD will not be scored or considered for funding.

Threshold criteria is as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| **Threshold Criteria** |
| * Program will serve 100% Chronically Homeless individuals and families; (only Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Re‐Housing (RRH) projects will be considered for funding under this RFP).
 |
| * Program will provide housing through scattered-site leasing or tenant-based rental assistance.
 |
| * Project Applicant is in good standing with HUD.
 |
| * Proposed program budget requests no less than 70% of the total program funding for leasing, rental assistance, or operating costs. (No more than 30% of the total program funding may be used for ELIGIBLE supportive services costs.)
 |
| * Application demonstrates a plan for rapid implementation/seamless continuation of the program.
 |
| * Applicant articulates how program participants are connected to, and assisted with, a range of mainstream resource service systems.
 |
| * Renewal program must be a current and active participant in the CoC’s HMIS and its coordinated assessment system, the CALL; new programs must agree to participate in both systems if funded.
 |
| * Application packet is complete.
 |

**B. RENEWAL PROJECTS**

##  Consideration for renewal funding will be based on the following performance objectives:

* Housing (25 point maximum)
* Income (40 point maximum)
* Program (10 point maximum)
* Financial (15 point maximum)
* Data Quality (10 point maximum)

Renewal projects may score up to 100 points maximum based on information provided in the application, previous APR reporting, HMIS and the HUD LOCCS system. Specific scoring criteria for renewal projects is as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| **Scoring Criteria :: Renewal Projects** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PERFORMANCE STANDARD** | **METHODOLOGY AND GOALS** | **SCORING** | **MAX POINTS** |
| **Housing Performance 25 points** |
| 1. Percentage of PSH beds dedicated to chronically homeless people.
 | Based on APR Q5, Actual Bed & Unit Inventory, CH beds divided by Total Beds. **Goal = 100%** | Prorated up to 10 points for 100% of beds. | **10** |
| 1. Persons residing in permanent housing will remain in this housing for a minimum of one year or exit to other permanent housing.
 | Based on APR: exit and retention of leavers and stayers during the program year. **Goal = 85% retention/exits to other PH** | >85% =1580% - 84% = 1065% - 79% = 755% - 64% = 4<55% = 0 | **15** |

*Scoring criteria for renewal projects continues on following page.*

|  |
| --- |
| **Scoring Criteria :: Renewal Projects, *Continued*** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PERFORMANCE STANDARD** | **METHODOLOGY AND GOALS** | **SCORING** | **MAX POINTS** |
| **Income Performance 40 points** |
| 1. Percentage of clients exiting with earned income.
 | From APR Q25a1 Cash income sources – leavers, number of adults with earned income divided by Q7, total number of adults **Goal = 85%** | >85% =1080% - 84% = 865% - 79% = 455% - 64% = 1<55% = 0 | **10** |
| 1. Percentage of clients increasing income from employment, from program entry to exit.
 | From HMIS APR: (Q24b Number of Adults with Earned Income: Retained Income Category and Increased income at follow-up/exit plus did not have the income category at entry and gained the income category at follow-up/exit) divided by Q24b Total Adults**Goal = 20%** | >20% =1010% - 19% = 65 % - 9 % = 3<5% = 0 | **10** |
| 1. Percentage of clients increasing income from sources other than employment.
 | From HMIS APR: (Q24b Number of Adults with Other Income: Retained Income Category and Increased income at follow-up/exit plus did not have the income category at entry and gained the income category at follow-up/exit) divided by Q24b Total Adults**Goal = 85%** | >85% =1080% - 84% = 865% - 79% = 455% - 64% = 1<55% = 0 | **10** |
| 1. Percentage of clients accessing mainstream resources.
 | From APR: (1 – [Q26b1 + Q26b2 Number of Non-Cash Benefit Sources, Adults with no sources]) divided by APR Q7 Total Number of Adults**Goal = 85%** | >85% =1080% - 84% = 865% - 79% = 455% - 64% = 1<55% = 0 | **10** |
| **Program Performance 10 points** |
| 1. Utilization Rate—the extent to which the program operates at full capacity with low vacancy rate, quickly filling vacancies.
 | From APR Q10-Q11.**Goal = 90%** | Prorated up to 5 points. | **5** |
| 1. Housing First model is practiced.
 | Based on responses to the USICH Housing First Checklist included as an attachment to the RFP.**Goal = YES** | Full 5 points awarded for YES; 0 points awarded for NO. | **5** |
| **Financial Performance 15 points** |
| 1. Agency’s ability to spend down previously-awarded funding in FY2014.
 | Based on review of HUD LOCCS.**Goal = 0% unspent funds** | 0% =51 - 5% = 36 - 10 % = 111%> = 0 | **5** |
| 1. Agency’s ability to spend down previously-awarded funding in FY2013.
 | Based on review of HUD LOCCS.**Goal = 0% unspent funds** | 0% =51 - 5% = 36 - 10 % = 111%> = 0 | **5** |
| 1. Leveraging of CoC award
 | Based on response provided within the Renewal Application.**Goal = 200%** | Prorated up to 2 points for 200% of award.  | **2** |
| 1. Provision of 25% match
 | Based on response provided within the Renewal Application.**Goal = YES** | Full 3 points awarded for YES; 0 points awarded for NO. | **3** |

*Scoring criteria for renewal projects continues on following page.*

|  |
| --- |
| **Scoring Criteria :: Renewal Projects, *Continued*** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PERFORMANCE STANDARD** | **METHODOLOGY AND GOALS** | **SCORING** | **MAX POINTS** |
| **Data Quality Performance 10 points** |
| 1. Agency’s thoroughness in ensuring all data is collected and entered into HMIS.
 | Based on review of HMIS “Data Quality” report. | 0 omissions =101-10% oms. = 611 – 20% oms.= 421% > oms = 0 | **10** |
| **TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS *for* RENEWAL PROJECTS** | **100** |

**C. NEW PROJECTS**

## Consideration for funding of new projects, including those created as a result of reallocation, will be based on the following performance objectives:

* Agency Experience and Capacity (20 point maximum)
* Project Quality (40 point maximum)
* Match and Leveraged Resources (20 point maximum)
* Fiscal Management (20 point maximum)

New projects may score up to 100 points maximum based on information provided in the application including attachments of required materials. Specific scoring criteria for new projects is as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| **Scoring Criteria :: New Projects**  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **STANDARDS AND SCORING** | **MAX POINTS** |
| **Agency Experience and Capacity.** Applicants demonstrating extensive experience in administering HUD or other federal funds, and providing the proposed service and/or serving the proposed population will receive 10 points. | **20** |
| **Project Quality.** Each application will be scored on the overall quality of the project, and the extent to which the applicant can clearly demonstrate the following:* Housing First (15 points): Applicants may receive up to 15 points based on the extent to which the Permanent Supportive Housing Bonus project will follow a Housing First model/low barrier approach.
* Chronic Homeless (15 points) Projects serving at least 85% of beds dedicated to chronic homeless will receive 15 points.
* Mainstream Services (5 points): Applicants may receive up to 5 points based on the extent to which the project is fully leveraging mainstream resources for supportive services.
* Low Barrier (5 points): Projects demonstrating low barriers to program admission and flexible participation policies designed to retain program participants will receive 5 points.
 | **40** |
| **Match and Leveraged Resources.** Projects demonstrating leveraging of at least 200% of the HUD request will receive 20 points. Projects demonstrating leverage of at least 150% of the HUD request will receive 10 points. | **20** |
| **Fiscal Management.** To receive maximum points, applicants must demonstrate history of financial stability, including prompt expenditure of program funds, and no outstanding audit or HUD monitoring findings.  | **20** |
| **TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS *for* NEW PROJECTS** | **100** |

## **D. PROPOSAL SELECTION**

## The Performance Review Committee (PRC) of the Homeless Service Provider’s Network (HSPN) will complete the review and evaluation process and present a recommendation for funding approval to the HSPN at the HSPN meeting. Agencies that do not meet the threshold score or who are not recommended for funding may appeal and address the members of the COC PRC Appeal Panel based only on the following guidelines (agencies recommended or only partial funding are not eligible to request an appeal):

#### If the project is not selected for funding, the agency has the right to appeal, provided that the appeal is based upon violations of program regulations. For example, reviewing members did not consistently follow the scoring criteria and process, as detailed above or there was a conflict of interest that prevented a fair review of the proposal.

#### No appeals will be heard on the basis of funding level.

**E. ELIGIBLE POPULATIONS TO BE SERVED**

All projects MUST serve homeless and/or chronically homeless persons. HUD defines “chronically homeless” as an unaccompanied homeless individual with a disabling condition, or a family with at least one (1) adult member who has a disabling condition, who has either been continuously homeless for a year or more or has had at least four (4) episodes of homelessness in the past three (3) years.

**F. RANKING PROCESS**

HUD requires that all CoCs list all projects that they approved to submit project applications to HUD, in the order of priority as determined by the CoC. CoCs should place all new and renewal project applications that the CoC determines are high priority, high performing, and meet the needs and gaps as identified by the CoC in Tier 1. HUD will select projects in Tier 1 as described in the NOFA. HUD will select all projects in Tier 1 before selecting any projects in Tier 2. Then, HUD will select projects in Tier 2 as described in the NOFA.

Lower ranked projects may be selected for funding above higher ranked projects, consistent with HUD’s selection priorities.

The CoC renewal application components and narratives serve to:

1. Confirm the capacity of agencies to provide CoC funded programs;
2. Provide information on program delivery in order to evaluate performance and meeting HUD priorities for scoring and ranking of projects by the PRC; and
3. Provide project level narrative to be utilized in the CoC Program Application (former ‘Exhibit 1’). HUD will limit renewal grants to one (1) year of funding. Renewal Project Applications that request multiple years of funding will be reduced to one (1) year grant amounts.

Renewal projects must meet minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards. HUD will review information in the LOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the HUD local /CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, as well as performance standards on prior grants, and assess a project on the following criteria using a pass/fail basis:

* Applicant’s performance against plans and goals;
* Timeliness standards;
* Applicant’s performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and record of success;
* Financial management accounting practices;
* Timely expenditures;
* Capacity;
* Timeliness; and
* Eligible activities.

**Resources and Information available:**

HUD Homelessness Resource Exchange, <http://www.hudhre.info/>

HUD Supportive Housing Program Desk Guide, <http://www.hudhre.info/index.cfm?do=viewShpDeskguide>

HUD e-snaps Training and Resources Page, <http://www.hudhre.info/esnaps/>



PROGRAM NAME:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

AGENCY NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**United States Interagency Council on Homelessness**

**The Housing First Checklist**

Housing First is a proven method of ending all types of homelessness and is the most effective approach to ending chronic homelessness. Housing First offers individuals and families experiencing homelessness immediate access to permanent affordable or supportive housing. Without clinical prerequisites like completion of a course of treatment or evidence of sobriety and with a low threshold for entry, Housing First yields higher housing retention rates, lower returns to homelessness, and significant reductions in the use of crisis services and institutions.1 Due its high degree of success, Housing First is identified as a core strategy for ending homelessness in *Opening Doors: the Federal Strategic Plan to End Homelessness* and has become widely adopted by national and community-based organizations as a best practice for solving homelessness.

Housing First permanent supportive housing models are typically designed for individuals or families who have complex service needs, who are often turned away from other affordable housing settings, and/or who are least likely to be able to proactively seek and obtain housing on their own. Housing First approaches also include rapid re-housing which provides quick access to permanent housing through interim rental assistance and supportive services on a time-limited basis. The approach has also evolved to encompass a community-level orientation to ending homelessness in which barriers to housing entry are removed and efforts are in place to prioritize the most vulnerable and high-need people for housing assistance.

As Housing First approaches become adopted more widely, the need for clarity increases around what the Housing First approach entails and how to know whether a particular housing program or community approach is truly using a Housing First approach. Robust tools and instruments are available which can quantitatively assess and measure a housing program’s fidelity to Housing First, and recent research has attempted to rigorously evaluate Housing First implementation.2 For quick screening, policymakers and practitioners will benefit from this practical, easy-to-use guide to identify and assess the implementation of the core components of the Housing First approach.

**How to Use this Tool**

This tool is intended for use by policymakers, government officials, and practitioners alike to help make a basic assessment of whether and to what degree a particular housing program is employing a Housing First approach. Use this tool as a checklist that can be reviewed during a site visit, program audit, or program interview, or as a guide when reviewing funding applications or reviewing a program’s policies and procedures.

The tool is broken down into two sections: a checklist of the core and additional elements of Housing First at the housing program or project level and a checklist of elements of Housing First at the community-level. Users of this tool should be aware that this tool assesses Housing First adoption along a spectrum, rather than as a simple *yes/no* or *pass/fail*. This tool is also not intended to serve as or supplant a more comprehensive housing and program quality assessment tool, but may supplement or be used in conjunction with such tools.

1 Lipton, F.R. et. al. (2000). “Tenure in supportive housing for homeless persons with severe mental illness,” Psychiatric Services 51(4): 479-486. M. Larimer, D. Malone, M. Garner, et al. “Health Care and Public Service Use and Costs Before and After Provision of Housing for Chronically Homeless Persons with Severe Alcohol Problems.” *Journal of the American Medical Association*, April 1, 2009, pp. 1349-1357. Massachusetts Housing and Shelter Alliance. (2007). “Home and Healthy for Good: A Statewide Pilot Housing First Program.” Boston.

2 Tsemberis, S. (2010). *Housing First: The Pathways model to end homelessness for people with mental illness and addiction.* Center City, MN: Hazelden. The National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University. (2012). *Unlocking the door: An implementation evaluation of supportive housing for active users in New York City.* New York. <http://www.casacolumbia.org/upload/2012/20121907casahope2full.pdf>
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**Housing First at the Program/Project Level**

**Core Elements:**

* Admission/tenant screening and selection practices affirm the acceptance of applicants regardless of their sobriety or use of substances, completion of treatment, and participation in services.

**QUICK SCREEN:**

Is Permanent Supportive Housing *Housing First?*

1. Are applicants required to have income prior to admission?
2. Are applicants required to be “clean and sober” or “treatment compliant” prior to admission?

3)Are tenants able to be evicted for not following through on their services and/or treatment plan?

If the answer is “yes” to any of these questions, the program

is not Housing First.

* Applicants are seldom rejected on the basis of poor credit or financial history, poor or lack of rental history, minor criminal convictions, or behaviors that indicate a lack of “housing readiness.”
* Housing accepts referrals directly from shelters, street outreach, drop-in centers, and other parts of crisis response system frequented by vulnerable people experiencing homelessness.
* Supportive services emphasize engagement and problem-solving over therapeutic goals. Services plans are highly tenant-driven without predetermined goals. Participation in services or program compliance is not a condition of permanent supportive housing tenancy. Rapid re-housing programs may require case management as condition of receiving rental assistance.
* Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself (without other lease violations) is not considered a reason for eviction.

**Additional Elements Found in Advanced Models:**

* Tenant selection plan for permanent supportive housing includes a prioritization of eligible tenants based on criteria other than “first come/first serve” such as duration/chronicity of homelessness, vulnerability, or high utilization of crisis services.
* Tenants in permanent supportive housing given reasonable flexibility in paying their tenant share of rent (after subsidy) on time and offered special payment arrangements (e.g. a payment plan) for rent arrears and/or assistance with financial management (including representative payee arrangements).
* Case managers/service coordinators are trained in and actively employ evidence-based practices for client/tenant engagement such as motivational interviewing and client-centered counseling.
* Services are informed by a harm reduction philosophy that recognizes that drug and alcohol use and addiction are a part of tenants’ lives, where tenants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding drug and alcohol use, and where tenants are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.
* Building and apartment unit may include special physical features that accommodate disabilities, reduce harm, and promote health among tenants. These may include elevators, stove-tops with automatic shut-offs, wall-mounted emergency pull-cords, ADA wheelchair compliant showers, etc.

PROGRAM NAME:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

AGENCY NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Housing First at the Community Level**

* Emergency shelter, street outreach providers, and other parts of crisis response system are aligned with Housing First and recognize their roles to encompass housing advocacy and rapid connection to permanent housing. Staff in crisis response system services believes that all people experiencing homelessness are housing ready.
* Strong and direct referral linkages and relationships exist between crisis response system (emergency shelters, street outreach, etc.) and rapid re-housing and permanent supportive housing. Crisis response providers are aware and trained in how to assist people experiencing homelessness to apply for and obtain permanent housing.
* Community has a unified, streamlined, and user-friendly community-wide process for applying for rapid re-housing, permanent supportive housing and/or other housing interventions.
* Community has a coordinated assessment system for matching people experiencing homelessness to the most appropriate housing and services, and where individuals experiencing chronic homelessness and extremely high need families are matched to permanent supportive housing/Housing First.
* Community has a data-driven approach to prioritizing highest need cases for housing assistance whether through analysis of lengths of stay in Homeless Management Information Systems, vulnerability indices, or data on utilization of crisis services.
* Policymakers, funders, and providers collaboratively conduct planning and raise and align resources to increase the availability of affordable and supportive housing and to ensure that a range of affordable and supportive housing options and models are available to maximize housing choice among people experiencing homelessness.
* Policies and regulations related to permanent supportive housing, social and health services, benefit and entitlement programs, and other essential services support and do not inhibit the implementation of the Housing First approach. For instance, eligibility and screening policies for benefit and entitlement programs or housing do not require the completion of treatment or achievement of sobriety as a prerequisite.
* Every effort is made to offer a transfer to a tenant from one housing situation to another, if a tenancy is in jeopardy. Whenever possible, eviction back into homelessness is avoided.